

Blue Plus

QUALITY ASSURANCE EXAMINATION

Preliminary Report

For the Period: December 1, 2015 – July 1, 2018

Examiners: Elaine Johnson, RN, BS, CPHQ; Anne Kukowski, JD, MS; and Kate Eckroth, MPH

Final Issue Date: May 3, 2019

Minnesota Department of Health Managed Care Systems Section PO Box 64882 St. Paul, MN 55164-0882 651-201-5100 health.mcs@state.mn.us www.health.state.mn.us

As requested by Minnesota Statutes, Section 3.197: This report cost approximately \$125.00 to prepare, including staff time, printing and mailing expenses.

Upon request, this material will be made available in an alternative format such as large print, Braille or audio recording. Printed on recycled paper.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) conducted a Quality Assurance Examination of Blue Plus to determine whether it is operating in accordance with Minnesota Law. Our mission is to protect, maintain and improve the health of all Minnesotans. MDH has found that Blue Plus is compliant with Minnesota and Federal law, except in the areas outlined in the "Deficiencies" and Mandatory Improvements" sections of this report. Deficiencies are violations of law. "Mandatory Improvements" are required corrections that must be made to non-compliant policies, documents or procedures where evidence of actual compliance is found or where the file sample did not include any instances of the specific issue of concern. The "Recommendations" listed are areas where, although compliant with law, MDH identified improvement opportunities.

To address recommendations, Blue Plus should:

Include the requirements to cover antipsychotic drugs to treat an emotional disturbance or mental illness when certain conditions apply for up to one year and to renew annually in a policy and/or procedure in addition to utilizing the formulary exception form.

To address mandatory improvements, Blue Plus and its delegates must:

Update its policy *Government Program's Service Review (GP210)* to include the current requirements regarding State Fair Hearings;

Include in the appropriate policy the requirement to provide notice by telephone within 24 hours of a request for prior authorization for all covered drug decisions;

Update its policy indicating that Blue Plus must offer a provider contract to an ECP located within the service area;

Revise its policy *Medical Management Service Review for Commercial Business C-UM 100* to delete the statement to referring to the state's ombudsman office. That pertains to MHCP enrollees only;

Follow its policy and send a written outcome notification letter to the enrollee and attending health care professional in response to expedited appeals.

To address deficiencies, Blue Plus and its delegates must:

Initiate and utilize the most current and DHS approved Appeal Rights Notice in its utilization management denial notifications. DHS updated the Appeal Rights Notice to be initiated in January 2018, however Blue Plus did not start using the updated notice until July 2018;

Include the most current, updated Appeal Rights Notice in its clinical and non-clinical appeal notifications to ensure enrollees are being accurately informed of their rights;

This report including these deficiencies, mandatory improvements and recommendations is approved and adopted by the Minnesota Commissioner of Health pursuant to authority in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62D.

Diane Rydrych, Director

Health Policy Division

Contents

I.	Introduction	6
11.	Quality Program Administration	8
	Program	8
	Activities	9
	Quality Evaluation Steps	9
	Focused Study Steps	10
	Filed Written Plan and Work Plan	. 10
Ш	. Quality of Care	11
	Quality of Care Complaints	11
I۷	Complaint and Grievance Systems	12
	Complaint Systems	12
	Complaint Resolution	12
	Appeal of the Complaint Decision	12
	Notice to Enrollees	13
	External Review of Adverse Determinations	13
	Grievance System	13
	General Requirements	14
	Internal Grievance Process Requirements	14
	DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees	14
	Internal Appeals Process Requirements	16
	State Fair Hearings	18
٧.	Access and Availability	19
	Geographic Accessibility	19
	Essential Community Providers	19
	Availability and Accessibility	19
	Emergency Services	20
	Licensure of Medical Directors	20
	Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for Mental Illness and Emotional Disturbance	20
	Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services	21
	Continuity of Care	21
VI	. Utilization Review	21

	Standards for Utilization Review Performance	. 22
	Procedures for Review Determination	. 22
	Finding: One Working Day Telephone Notice of Denial	. 22
	Appeals of Determinations Not to Certify	. 23
	Confidentiality	. 24
	Staff and Program Qualifications	. 24
	Complaints to Commerce or Health	. 24
	Prohibition of Inappropriate Incentives	. 25
V	II. Summary of Findings	. 25
	Recommendations	. 25
	Mandatory Improvements	. 25
	Deficiencies	. 26

I. Introduction

- 1. History: Founded in 1974, Blue Plus, a subsidiary of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota (Blue Cross), is a Minnesota nonprofit licensed health maintenance organization (HMO) that offers health plans and networks throughout Minnesota to individuals and local, state and national groups through contracted networks of health care providers. Aware Integrated, Inc., a Minnesota nonprofit corporation, is the parent holding company of Blue Cross. A Board of Directors, consisting of forty percent enrollee elected directors, oversees Blue Plus. In addition to offering a range of commercial products, Blue Plus currently contracts with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) to deliver and administer Minnesota Senior Care Plus and the Minnesota Senior Health Options (MSHO) program and also contracts with DHS to deliver and administer MinnesotaCare and the Prepaid Medical Assistance Program (PMAP).
- 2. Membership: Blue Plus self-reported Minnesota enrollment as of July 1, 2018 consisted of the following:

Self-Reported Enrollment

Product	Enrollment
Fully Insured Commercial	
Large Group	419
Small Employer Group	3,768
Individual	24,239
Minnesota Health Care Programs – Managed Care (MHCP-MC)	
Families & Children	327,220
MinnesotaCare	31,447
Minnesota Senior Care (MSC+)	3,498
Minnesota Senior Health Options (MSHO)	8,789
Special Needs Basic Care	n/a
Total	399,380

3. Onsite Examination Dates: October 8th – 12th, 2018

4. Examination Period: December 1, 2015 to July 1, 2018 File Review Period: July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

Opening Date: July 19, 2018

- 5. National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA): Blue Plus is accredited by NCQA for its Commercial HMO/POS/PPO Combined, Marketplace PPO and Medicaid HMO products based on 2017 standards. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) evaluated and used results of the NCQA review in one of three ways:
 - a. If NCQA standards do not exist or are not as stringent as Minnesota law, the accreditation results were not used in the MDH examination process [No NCQA checkbox].
 - b. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law and the health plan was accredited with 100% of the possible points, the NCQA results were accepted as meeting Minnesota requirements [NCQA ☒], unless evidence existed indicating further investigation was warranted [NCQA ☒].
 - c. If the NCQA standard was the same or more stringent than Minnesota law, but the review resulted in less than 100% of the possible points on NCQA's score sheet or as an identified opportunity for improvement, MDH conducted its own examination.
- 6. Sampling Methodology: Due to the small sample sizes and the methodology used for sample selection for the quality assurance examination, the results cannot be extrapolated as an overall deficiency rate for the health plan.
- 7. Performance Standard: For each instance of non-compliance with applicable law or rule identified during the quality assurance examination, that covers a three-year audit period, the health plan is cited with a deficiency. A deficiency will not be based solely on one outlier file if MDH has sufficient evidence that a plan's overall operation is compliant with an applicable law. Sufficient evidence may be obtained through: 1) file review; 2) policies and procedures; and 3) interviews.

II. Quality Program Administration

Program

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1110

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subp. 1.	Written Quality Assurance Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subp. 2.	Documentation of Responsibility	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□NCQA
Subp. 3.	Appointed Entity	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□NCQA
Subp. 4.	Physician Participation	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 5.	Staff Resources	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 6.	Delegated Activities	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 7.	Information System	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 8.	Program Evaluation	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subp. 9.	Complaints	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subp. 10.	Utilization Review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subp. 11.	Provider Selection and Credentialing	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 12.	Qualifications	□Met	□ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subp. 13.	Medical Records	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	

Finding: Delegated Activities

<u>Subp. 6.</u> Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 6, states the HMO must develop and implement review and reporting requirements to assure that the delegated entity performs all delegated activities. The standards and processes established by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) for delegation are considered the community standard and, as such, were used for the purposes of this examination. The following delegated entities and functions were reviewed.

Delegated Entities and Functions

Entity	UM	QOC	Complaints/ Grievances	Appeals	Cred	Claims	Disease Mgmt	Network	Care Coord
Prime Therapeutics	Х	Х			Χ	Х		Χ	
Secure Care (Chiro)		Х	Х		Χ			Х	

Entity	им	QOC	Complaints/ Grievances	Appeals	Cred	Claims	Disease Mgmt	Network	Care Coord
Carlton County		THE TOTAL	ent of the state o	R1 - 1		anish ishir			Х
Mower County									Х
Trimont Health Care				Marie Perok					Х

Finding: Provider Selection and Credentialing

<u>Subp. 11</u>. Minnesota Rules, part 4685.1110, subpart 11, states the plan must have policies and procedures for provider selection, credentialing and recredentialing that, at a minimum, are consistent with community standards. MDH recognizes the community standard to be NCQA. Blue Plus scored 100% on all 2017 NCQA Credentialing/recredentialing standards.

Activities

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1115

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 1.	Ongoing Quality Evaluation	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Scope	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Quality Evaluation Steps

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1120

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 1.	Problem Identification	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Problem Selection	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 3.	Corrective Action	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 4.	Evaluation of Corrective Action	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Focused Study Steps

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1125

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 1.	Focused Studies	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Topic Identification and Selections	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 3.	Study	⊠Met	□ Not Met
Subp. 4.	Corrective Action	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 5.	Other Studies	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Filed Written Plan and Work Plan

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1130

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 1.	Written Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 2.	Work Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 3.	Amendments to Plan	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

III. Quality of Care

MDH reviewed a total of fifteen quality of care grievance and complaint system files.

Quality of Care File Review

File Source	# Reviewed
Quality of Care	
MHCP Grievances	8
Commercial Complaints	7
Total	15

Quality of Care Complaints

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.115

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Definition	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Quality of Care Investigations	⊠Met	□ Not Met

Finding: Quality of Care Complaints

<u>Subds. 1 and 2.</u> Minnesota Statutes, section 62D.115, subdivisions 1 and 2, outlines the requirements for quality of care complaint processes. All quality of care policy and procedures were reviewed and are being followed according to law. In addition, MDH reviewed seven commercial quality of care complaint files and eight public program quality of care complaints. The review demonstrated that Blue Plus is conducting thorough investigations, and performing appropriate review and follow-up with active involvement by the Medical Director.

IV. Complaint and Grievance Systems

Complaint Systems

MDH examined Blue Plus's fully-insured commercial complaint system for compliance with complaint resolution requirements of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 62Q.

Complaint System File Review

File Source	# Reviewed
Complaint Files	
Blue Plus Written	7
Blue Plus Oral	23
Non-Clinical Appeals	8
Total	30

Complaint Resolution

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.69.

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Establishment	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Procedures for Filing a Complaint	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Notification of Complaint Decisions	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

Appeal of the Complaint Decision

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.70

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met	
Subd. 1.	Establishment	⊠ Met	□ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Procedures for Filing an Appeal	⊠ Met	□ Not Met	

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met	
Subd. 3.	Notification of Appeal Decisions	⊠ Met	□ Not Met	

Notice to Enrollees

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.71

Section	Subject		Not Met
62Q.71.	Notice to Enrollees	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

External Review of Adverse Determinations

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.73

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 3.	Right to External Review	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met

Grievance System

MDH examined Blue Plus's Minnesota Health Care Programs Managed Care Programs – Managed Care (MHCP-MC) grievance system for compliance with the federal law (42 CFR 438, subpart F) and the DHS 2018 Contract, Article 8.

MDH reviewed a total of 46 grievance system files.

Grievance System File Review

File Source	# Reviewed
Grievances	
Blue Plus Written	1
Blue Plus Oral	7
Non-Clinical Appeals	30
State Fair Hearing	8
Total	46

General Requirements

DHS Contract, Section 8.1

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.1.	§438.402	General Requirements		
Sec. 8.1.1.		Components of Grievance System	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Internal Grievance Process Requirements

DHS Contract, Section 8.2

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.2.	§438.408	Internal Grievance Process Requirements		
Sec. 8.2.1.	§438.402 (c)	Filing Requirements	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.2.2.	§438.408 (b)(1), (d)(1)	Timeframe for Resolution of Grievances	⊠Met	□ Not Met
Sec. 8.2.3.	§438.408 (c)	Timeframe for Extension of Resolution of Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec 8.2.4.	§438.406	Handling of Grievances		
(A)	§438.406 (b)(1)	Written Acknowledgement	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.416	Log of Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(C)	§438.402 (c)(3)	Oral or Written Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(D)	§438.406 (a)	Reasonable Assistance	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(E)	§438.406 (b)(2)(i)	Individual Making Decision	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(F)	§438.406 (b)(2)(ii)	Appropriate Clinical Expertise	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.2.5.	§438.408 (d)(1)	Notice of Disposition of a Grievance		
(A)	§438.404 (b) §438.406 (a)	Oral Grievances	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(B)	§438.404 (a), (b)	Written Grievances	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees

DHS Contract, Section 8.3

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.3.	§438.10 §438.404	DTR Notice of Action to Enrollees		

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Sec. 8.3.1.	§438.10(c), (d) §438.402(c) §438.404(b)	General Requirements	□Met	⊠ Not Met
Section 8.3.2.	§438.404 (c)	Timing of DTR Notice		
(A)	§431.211	Previously Authorized Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.404 (c)(2)	Denials of Payment	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(C)	§438.210 (c)(d)	Standard Authorizations		
(1)		As expeditiously as the enrollee's health condition requires	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(2)		To the attending health care professional and hospital by telephone or fax within one working day after making the determination	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(3)		To the provider, enrollee and hospital, in writing, and must include the process to initiate an appeal, within ten (10) business days following receipt of the request for the service, unless the MCO receives an extension of the resolution period	□Met	⊠ Not Met
(D)	§438.210 (d)(2)(i)	Expedited Authorizations	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(E)	§438.210 (d)(1)	Extensions of Time	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(F)	§438.210(d)(3) and 42 USC 1396r-8(d)(5)	Covered Outpatient Drug Decisions	□Met	⊠ Not Met
(G)	§438.210 (d)(1)	Delay in Authorizations	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Content of DTR Notice of Action

Sec. 8.3.1. 42 CFR 438.404 (DHS contract 8.3.1(B)(11)(c)) states Appeal Rights Notice must include the enrollee's right to file a request for State Fair Hearing after first exhausting the MCO's appeal procedures or up to 120 days after the MCO's determination of the appeal. Blue Plus's policy *Government Program's Service Review (GP210)* included outdated information regarding State Fair Hearings. Blue Plus must update its policy to include the current requirements regarding State Fair Hearings. (Mandatory Improvement #1) Blue Plus incorporated the requested language in the policy and provided a copy to the MDH examiners during the onsite portion of the exam.

Finding: One Working Day telephone notice of Denial

<u>Sec. 8.3.2(C)(2).</u> 42 CFR 438.210(d) (DHS Contract 8.3.2(C)(2), states the MCO must provide a telephone notice to the attending care professional within one working day of the determination. In one MHCP file the telephone notification did not take place within one working day (4 days).

Finding: Appeal Rights Notice

Sec. 8.3.2(C)(3). 42 CFR 438.210 (c) (DHS Contract 8.3.2(C)(3)), states the Appeal Rights Notice (process to initiate an appeal), which must be approved by the State, must be included with the DTR notice that deny or limit services. DHS changed the content of the Appeal Rights Notice beginning in 2018 which included changes to enrollee rights regarding appeal and State Fair Hearings. None of the 14 Blue Plus files from the sample list of files dated in 2018 contained the 2018 updated Appeal Rights Notice. (Deficiency #1) In three Prime Therapeutics files, the Appeal Rights notice was outdated, however those files were dated in January of 2018, and all other 2018 files had the updated notice. Blue Plus did not finalize the remediation of this issue until July 2018. Blue Plus informed MDH of this issue and an in-depth discussion took place while onsite. Corrective action on this issue included a strengthening of its vendor oversight.

Finding: Covered Outpatient Drug Decisions

Sec. 8.3.2. 42 CFR 438.210(d)(3) (DHS Contract 8.3.2(F)), states for all covered outpatient drug authorization decisions, notice by telephone within 24 hours of a request must be provided. Blue Plus's policy *Government Program's Service Review (GP210)* does not contain this provision. Blue Plus must include in the appropriate policy the requirement to provide notice by telephone within 24 hours of a request for prior authorization for all covered drug decisions. (Mandatory Improvement #2) Blue Plus incorporated the requested language in the policy and provided a copy to MDH examiners during the onsite portion of the exam.

Internal Appeals Process Requirements

DHS Contract, Section 8.4

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.4.	§438.404	Internal Appeals Process Requirements		
Sec. 8.4.1.	§438.402 (b)	One Level Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Ņot Met
Sec. 8.4.2.	§438.408 (b)	Filing Requirements	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.3.	§438.408	Timeframe for Resolution of Appeals		
(A)	§438.408 (b)(2)	Standard Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.408 (b)(3)	Expedited Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(C)	§438.408 (c)(3)	Deemed Exhaustion	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.4.	§438.408 (c)	Timeframe for Extension of Resolution of Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.5.	§438.406	Handling of Appeals		
(A)	§438.406 (b)(3)	Oral Inquiries	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(B)	§438.406 (b)(1)	Written Acknowledgment	⊠Met	□ Not Met

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
(C)	§438.406 (a)	Reasonable Assistance	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(D)	§438.406 (b)(2)	Individual Making Decision	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(E)	§438.406 (b)(2)	Appropriate Clinical Expertise (See Minnesota Statutes, sections 62M.06, and subd. 3(f) and 62M.09	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(F)	§438.406 (b)(4)	Opportunity to Present Evidence	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(G)	§438.406 (b)(5)	Opportunity to Examine the Care File	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(H)	§438.406 (b)(6)	Parties to the Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(1)	§438.410 (b)	Prohibition of Punitive Action Subsequent Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.6.		Subsequent Appeals		THE TWO PAGE
Sec. 8.4.7.	§438.408 (d)(2)	Notice of Resolution of Appeals		
(A)	§438.408 (d)(2)	Written Notice Content	□Met	⊠ Not Met
(B)	§438.210 (c)	Appeals of UM Decisions	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(C)	§438.410 (c) and .408 (d)(2)(ii)	Telephone Notification of Expedited Appeals (Also see Minnesota Statutes section 62M.06, subd.2)	⊠Met	□ Not Met
Sec. 8.4.8.	§438.424	Reversed Appeal Resolutions	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.5.	§438.420 (b)	Continuation of Benefits Pending Appeal or State Fair Hearing	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Written Notice Content

Sec. 8.4.7. 42 CFR 438.408(d)(2) (DHS Contract Section 8.4.7(A)), states that the MCO must provide a written notice of resolution for all appeals and must include....with the notice a copy of the State's notice "Your Appeal Rights." Twelve of the 30 MHCP non-clinical appeal files contained outdated appeal rights. Eleven of those files contained some of the updated 2018 appeal rights but did not contain all of the required information about how to request a State Fair Hearing, and one file was outdated using 2017 appeal rights. Of the ten clinical appeals files reviewed, four files did not have the updated appeal rights. A total of 16 MHCP appeal files, both clinical and non-clinical that were processed in 2018 did not contain the most updated 2018 Appeal Rights Notice. Blue Plus must update and institute in a timely manner all appeal rights forms to ensure the most up to date forms approved by DHS are being used. (Deficiency #2)

Maintenance of Grievance and Appeal Records

DHS Contract, Section 8.6

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.6.	§438.416 (c)	Maintenance of Grievance and Appeal Records	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

State Fair Hearings

DHS Contract, Section 8.10

Section	42 CFR	Subject	Met	Not Met
Section 8.10.	§438.416 (c)	State Fair Hearings		
Sec. 8.10.2.	§438.408 (f)	Standard Hearing Decisions	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Sec. 8.10.5.	§438.424	Compliance with State Fair Hearing Resolution	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

V. Access and Availability

Geographic Accessibility

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62D.124

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Primary Care, Mental Health Services, General Hospital Services	⊠Met	□ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Other Health Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Exception	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Essential Community Providers

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.19

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 3.	Contract with Essential Community Providers	□Met	⊠ Not Met

Finding: Essential Community Providers

<u>Subd. 3.</u> Minnesota Statutes, section 62Q.19, subdivision 3, states that a health plan company must offer a provider contract to any designated essential community provider (ECP) located within the area served by the health plan, and cannot restrict access to members seeking ECP services. There is nothing stated in Blue Plus's policy and procedures that addresses contracting with ECPs. Blue Plus does have contracts with ECPs. Blue Plus must update its policy indicating that they must offer a provider contract to an ECP located within the service area. (Mandatory Improvement #3)

Availability and Accessibility

Minnesota Rules, Part 4685.1010

Subparts	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subp. 2.	Basic Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 5.	Coordination of Care	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subp. 6.	Timely Access to Health Care Services	⊠Met	□ Not Met

Emergency Services

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.55

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Access to Emergency Services	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Emergency Medical Condition	⊠Met	□ Not Met

Licensure of Medical Directors

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.121

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met
62Q.121.	Licensure of Medical Directors	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for Mental Illness and Emotional Disturbance

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.527.

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 2.	Required Coverage for Anti-psychotic Drugs	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Continuing Care	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 4.	Exception to Formulary	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Coverage of Nonformulary Drugs for Mental Illness and Emotional Disturbance

<u>Subds. 2, 3 and 4.</u> Minnesota Statutes, section 62Q.527, subdivisions 2, 3 and 4, require health plans to cover antipsychotic drugs to treat an emotional disturbance or mental illness, when certain conditions apply, for up to one year and to renew annually. Blue Plus regularly utilizes a formulary exception form internally when determining whether an enrollee meets formulary exception criteria. The form contains all the requirements in this statute, however, these requirements aren't included in any Blue Plus policy and/or procedure. MDH suggests that Blue Plus include the requirements in a policy and/or procedures to ensure compliance with the requirement. (Recommendation #1).

Coverage for Court-Ordered Mental Health Services

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.535

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 2.	Coverage required	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Continuity of Care

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62Q.56

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	N/A
Subd. 1.	Change in health care provider, general notification	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	The allowing
Subd. 1a.	Change in health care provider, termination not for cause	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 1b.	Change in health care provider, termination for cause	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Change in health plans (applies to group, continuation and conversion coverage)	⊠Met	□ Not Met	□ N/A

VI. Utilization Review

MDH examined Blue Plus's utilization review (UR) system under Minnesota Statutes, chapter 62M. A total of 99 utilization review files were reviewed.

UR System File Review

File Source	# Reviewed
UM Denial Files	
Commercial	
Blue Plus	8
Prime Therapeutics	8
MHCP-MC	
Blue Plus	31
Prime Therapeutics	12
Subtotal	59
Clinical Appeal Files	
Blue Plus Commercial	30

File Source	# Reviewed
Blue Plus MHCP-MC	10
Subtotal	40
Total	99

Standards for Utilization Review Performance

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.04

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Responsibility on Obtaining Certification	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Information upon which Utilization Review is Conducted	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Procedures for Review Determination

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.05

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subd. 1.	Written Procedures	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 2.	Concurrent Review	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 3.	Notification of Determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 3a.	Standard Review Determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
(a)	Initial determination to certify or not (10 business days)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
(b)	Initial determination to certify (telephone notification)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
(c)	Initial determination not to certify (notice within 1 working day)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
(d)	Initial determination not to certify (notice of right to appeal)	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 3b.	Expedited Review Determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 4.	Failure to Provide Necessary Information	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 5.	Notifications to Claims Administrator	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	

Finding: One Working Day Telephone Notice of Denial [See 42 CFR 438.210(c) (DHS Contract 8.3.2(C)(2)]

Appeals of Determinations Not to Certify

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.06

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met
Subd. 1.	Procedures for Appeal	□Met	⊠ Not Met
Subd. 2.	Expedited Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 3.	Standard Appeal	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(a)	Procedures for Appeals	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(b)	Appeal resolution notice timeline	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(c)	Documentation requirements	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(d)	Review by a different physician	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(e)	Time limit in which to appeal	⊠Met	□ Not Met
(f)	Unsuccessful appeal to reverse determination	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(g)	Same or similar specialty review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
(h)	Notice of rights to external review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met
Subd. 4.	Notifications to Claims Administrator	⊠Met	☐ Not Met

Finding: Procedures for Appeal

<u>Subd. 2</u> Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.06, subdivision 1(a) requires written procedures for appeals for appeals of determinations not to certify. Blue Plus policy *Appeals of Pre-service – Post Service Claims for Commercial Business* (CSC-M-2018-003) states "For expedited cases, in addition to outcome notification letter, a telephone call with the determination must be made..." In three files pertaining to oral expedited appeals for medications where the denial was reversed, Blue Plus did not provide a notification letter as required by its policy. Blue Plus must follow its policy and send a written outcome notification letter to the enrollee and attending health care professional in response to expedited appeals. (Mandatory Improvement #5)

Finding: Appeal Resolution Notification

<u>Subd. 3</u> Minnesota Statutes 62M.06, subdivision 3, requires a written notification of the appeal determination to the enrollee and the attending health care professional for oral and written appeals. In one standard appeal file there was no written notification of the appeal determination to the enrollee and attending health care professional.

Confidentiality

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.08

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subd. 1.	Written Procedures to Ensure Confidentiality	□Met	☐ Not Met	

Staff and Program Qualifications

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.09

Subdivision	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
Subd. 1.	Staff Criteria	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 2.	Licensure Requirements	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA
Subd. 3.	Physician Reviewer Involvement	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 3a.	Mental Health and Substance Abuse Review	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	
Subd. 4.	Dentist Plan Reviews	⊠Met	□ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 4a.	Chiropractic Reviews	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 5.	Written Clinical Criteria	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	□ NCQA
Subd. 6.	Physician Consultants	⊠Met	☐ Not Met	☐ NCQA
Subd. 7.	Training for Program Staff	□Met	☐ Not Met	☑ NCQA
Subd. 8.	Quality Assessment Program	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠ NCQA

Complaints to Commerce or Health

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.11

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met
62M.11.	Complaints to Commerce or Health	□Met	⊠ Not Met

Finding: Complaints to Health

<u>Sec. 62M.11</u>. Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.11, states an enrollee may file a complaint regarding a determination not to certify directly to MDH. The policy *Medical Management Service Review for Commercial Business C-UM 100* incorrectly states the denial letter must advise that the member has right to seek assistance from and file a complaint directly to state

commissioner and <u>state's ombudsman office</u>. Blue Plus must revise its policy and delete the statement to referring to the state's ombudsman office. That pertains to MHCP enrollees only. **(Mandatory Improvement #4)**

Prohibition of Inappropriate Incentives

Minnesota Statutes, Section 62M.12

Section	Subject	Met	Not Met	NCQA
62M.12.	Prohibition of Inappropriate Incentives	□Met	☐ Not Met	⊠NCQA

VII. Summary of Findings

Recommendations

To better comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62Q.527, subdivisions 2, 3 and 4, MDH
recommends that Blue Plus include the requirements to cover antipsychotic drugs to treat
an emotional disturbance or mental illness when certain conditions apply for up to one year
and to renew annually in a policy and/or procedure in addition to utilizing the formulary
exception form.

Mandatory Improvements

- 1. To comply with 42 CFR 438.404 (DHS contract 8.3.1(B)(11)(c)), Blue Plus must update its policy *Government Program's Service Review (GP210)* to include the current requirements regarding State Fair Hearings.
- 2. To comply with 42 CFR 438.210(d)(3) (DHS Contract 8.3.2(F)), Blue Plus must include in the appropriate policy the requirement to provide notice by telephone within 24 hours of a request for prior authorization for all covered drug decisions.
- 3. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62Q.19, subdivision 3, Blue Plus must update its policy indicating that they must offer a provider contract to an ECP located within the service area
- 4. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.11, Blue Plus must revise its policy Medical Management Service Review for Commercial Business C-UM 100 and delete the

statement to referring to the state's ombudsman office. That pertains to MHCP enrollees only.

5. To comply with Minnesota Statutes, section 62M.06, subdivision 1(a), Blue Plus must follow its policy and send a written outcome notification letter to the enrollee and attending health care professional in response to expedited appeals.

Deficiencies

- 1. To comply with 42 CFR 438.210 (c) (DHS Contract 8.3.2(C)(3)), Blue Plus must initiate and utilize the most current and DHS approved Appeal Rights Notice in its utilization management denial notifications. DHS updated the Appeal Rights Notice to be initiated in January 2018, however Blue Plus did not start using the updated notice until July 2018.
- 2. To comply with 42 CFR 438.408(d)(2) (DHS Contract Section 8.4.7(A)), Blue Plus must include the most current, updated Appeal Rights Notice in its clinical and non-clinical appeal notifications to ensure enrollee are being accurately informed of their rights.